

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

FILED  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
AUGUSTA DIV.

DUBLIN DIVISION

2005 NOV 23 AM 9:58

SABULON CUELLAR CARDENAS, )

Petitioner, )

v. )

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, )

Respondent. )

CV 305-025

CLERK *L. Menden*  
SO. DIST. OF GA.

MANUEL DEL REAL PRADA, )

Petitioner, )

v. )

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, )

Respondent. )

CV 305-029

JUAN NAVARRO-DUQUE, )

Petitioner, )

v. )

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, )

Respondent. )

CV 305-033

RUBEN DARIO OCAMPO, )

Petitioner, )

v. )

MICHAEL PUGH, Warden, )

Respondent. )

CV 305-037

WILLIAM YEPES ALZATE, )

Petitioner, )

v. )  
 ) CV 305-043  
 )  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

DEVON BENNETT, )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. ) CV 305-047  
 )  
MICHAEL PUGH, Warden, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

LUIS ARBOLEDA, )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. ) CV 305-049  
 )  
MICHAEL PUGH, Warden, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

JUAN CARLOS MESA, )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. ) CV 305-051  
 )  
MICHAEL V. PUGH, Warden, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

GIOVANNY FERNANDEZ, )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. ) CV 305-053  
 )  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, )  
 )  
Respondent. )  
\_\_\_\_\_

MIGUEL A. CHAVEZ,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CV 305-055
	)	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

---

ALFONSO GIL,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CV 305-059
	)	
FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

---

---

**ORDER**


---

Petitioners brought the above-captioned petitions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioners argue that the Federal Bureau of Prisons has improperly calculated their good conduct time credits. The United States Magistrate Judge has entered Reports and Recommendations in each of the captioned matters, correctly explaining that Petitioners' argument has been explicitly rejected by the Eleventh Circuit, and indeed by nearly every court to consider the issue. Therefore, the Magistrate Judge has recommended that each of the captioned petitions be denied. None of Petitioners have objected.

After a careful, *de novo* review of each of the files in the above-captioned cases, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Reports and Recommendations to which no objections have been filed. Accordingly, the Reports and Recommendations of the Magistrate Judge are **ADOPTED** as the Court's opinions in each of the above-captioned cases. Thus, each of the captioned petitions are **DENIED**. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** each

of the captioned cases and to **FILE** a copy of this Order in each case.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of November, 2005, at Augusta, Georgia.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE